

The speckle interferometric multiplicity of T Tauri type stars in the Taurus-Auriga star-forming region

M. Butorina¹, V. Dyachenko², A. Beskakotov², A. Mitrofanova², and A. Maksimov²

 ¹ Kazan Federal University, 18 Kremlyovskaya St, Kazan, 420008 Russia
 ² Special Astrophysical Observatory of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Nizhny Arkhyz, 369167 Russia

Abstract. We present the results of a multiplicity study of T Tau-type stars in the Taurus-Auriga association. The observational data were obtained by speckle interferometry at the 6-meter telescope of the SAO RAS in 2020 and 2024. For the resolved stars, the positional parameters of the components, as well as the magnitude differences, were determined using the reference-independent power spectrum modeling method. Among the sample containing 58 bright stars ($m_V \leq 10$ mag), 12 binary, 5 triple and one quadruple speckle-interferometric systems were detected.

Keywords: stars: pre-main sequence; techniques: high angular resolution

DOI: 10.26119/VAK2024.048

SAO RAS, Nizhny Arkhyz, Russia 2024

https://vak2024.ru/

1 Introduction

The Taurus-Auriga association is the closest star-forming region to the Sun (about 140 parsecs, Kenyon et al. 1994). Extending widely across the northern sky (total area about 100 degrees), Taurus-Auriga contains many T Tauri type stars (TTs). These are low-mass objects $(0.5M_{\odot} - 2M_{\odot})$ characterized by regular and irregular variations in luminosity, as well as a strong emission in the UV and X-ray due to accretion. As a rule, the spectral classes of these stars are between G and K (Petrov 2003).

Tau-Aur is known to be a low stellar density region with a large number of double stars, especially wide pairs, compared to field stars and star-forming regions with higher stellar densities (Joncour et al. 2017).

In the paper of Leinert et al. (1993), a sample of 104 TTs was studied using various methods, including IR speckle interferometry, among which 57.7% were unresolved stars, 37.5% were binary, 2.9% were triple, and 1.9% were quadruple. No difference was statistically detected between the multiplicity of classic TTs and weak-lined TTs. The Köhler & Leinert (1998) survey, despite the smaller sample size, confirmed the Leinert et al. (1993) values obtained.

Such surveys make it possible not just to learn the multiplicity statistics in a given star-formation region. Information on positional parameters at different observational epochs allows us to calculate orbital solutions (e.g., the works of Woitas et al. 2001; Schaefer et al. 2014, etc.), which can then be used to directly and independently estimate the masses of the systems. This is very important for young stars because theoretical evolutionary models, while differing among themselves, introduce methodological uncertainties in the mass determinations.

This paper also presents a survey of the brightest TTs, using the speckle interferometry method. We present the calculated positional parameters for the double systems, as well as an estimate of the speckle interferometric multiplicity of the sample we used.

2 Observations

We compiled a sample of 58 T Tauri-type stars towards the Taurus-Auriga star formation region, selected by the brightness criterion ($m_V \leq 10$ mag). Observational data were obtained at the BTA speckle interferometer of SAO RAS based on the Andor iXon Ultra 897 EMCCD detector (Maksimov et al. 2009) in October–November 2020 and January 2024. Repeated observations were necessary to confirm the separation of the components, as well as to obtain new positional parameters.

The standard observational data series contained 2000 speckle interferograms of 512x512 pixels, recorded with an exposure time of 20 milliseconds, using the following interference filters (central wavelength/bandpass): 550/20, 550/50, 700/50, 800/100, 900/80 nm.

The brightness criterion, relying on the speckle interferometer characteristics, provides the possibility of a confident observation of speckles of bright TTs with a high signal-to-noise ratio, as well as the detection of faint components of TTs with a large magnitude differences (up to 6 mag).

The observational data series were used to compute average power spectra and autocorrelation functions (ACFs) (Labeyrie 1970). An example of the autocorrelation function is shown in Fig. 1.

After the initial calibration (taking into account the average flat- and darkframes), the most accurate model description was selected for each power spectrum using the least squares method. This way, the positional parameters of the components (separation ρ , positional angle θ) for a given epoch were determined (Pluzhnik 2005). The method of splitting the power spectrum into narrow rings was used in the modeling process. Within a ring, the transfer function was considered constant. The boundaries of the rings were set individually for each spectrum. Modeling was carried out in the specified frequency range, excluding low frequencies containing the atmospheric profile, as well as noisy high frequencies.

Table 1 presents the positional parameters for the resolved binary systems. The positional angle θ is given with an accuracy of 180 degrees because of the uncertainty of the component positions on the ACF. The errors for the calculated magnitude differences correspond to the error of the model fitting, so they may be underestimated.

4 Butorina et al.

Table 1. The positional parameters for the resolved binary systems. The positional angle θ is given with an accuracy of 180 degrees because of the uncertainty of the component positions on the ACF.

$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 2020.76429 & 550 & 70 & 1 & 91.9 & 0.1 & 0.44 & 0.01 \\ 2020.76428 & 700 & 69 & 1 & 93.1 & 0.1 & 0.57 & 0.01 \\ 2024.08185 & 550 & 68 & 1 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 0.59 & 0.01 \\ 2024.08186 & 700 & 68 & 1 & 0.9 & 0.1 & 0.53 & 0.01 \\ \hline \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 2020.76433 & 550 & 1635 & 1 & 52.0 & 0.1 & 2.55 & 0.02 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 2020.76433 & 550 & 1635 & 1 & 52.0 & 0.1 & 2.55 & 0.02 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 10200.76433 & 550 & 1635 & 1 & 52.0 & 0.1 & 2.55 & 0.02 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 2020.76433 & 550 & 1635 & 1 & 52.0 & 0.1 & 2.55 & 0.02 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 2024.08156 & 550 & 1703 & 1 & 50.8 & 0.1 & 2.32 & 0.01 \\ \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}$.01
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$.01
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$.01
2020.76433 550 1635 1 52.0 0.1 2.55 0.02 HD 25444 2024.08156 550 1703 1 50.8 0.1 2.32 0.01 2024.08157 700 1701 1 50.8 0.1 1.89 0.01	.01
HD 25444 2024.08156 550 1703 1 50.8 0.1 2.32 0.01 2024.08157 700 1701 1 50.8 0.1 1.89 0.01	.02
2024.08157 700 1701 1 50.8 0.1 1.89 0.01	.01
	.01
2020.76436 550 298 1 70.4 0.1 0.64 0.01	.01
V1299 Tau 2024.08182 550 278 1 69.2 0.1 0.44 0.01	.01
2024.08188 700 278 1 69.3 0.1 0.40 0.01	.01
AG+18 338 2020.90334 700 285 1 23.0 0.1 4.56 0.04	.04
A CI + 18, 220 2020.90333 700 69 1 14.1 0.3 2.42 0.03	.03
$AG+18 \ 339 \ 2024.08169 \ 550 \ 62 \ 1 \ 354.6 \ 0.1 \ 2.43 \ 0.01$.01
HD 283798 2020.90662 800 1609 1 121.1 0.1 5.17 0.03	.03
2020.90937 550 737 1 159.7 0.1 3.23 0.02	.02
ир алгого 2020.90938 800 737 1 159.7 0.1 1.95 0.01	.01
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$.01
$2024.08215 \ 700 \ 716 \ 1 \ 161.2 \ 0.1 \ 2.54 \ 0.01$.01
2020.90940 550 357 1 137.1 0.2 4.16 0.07	.07
UD 245567 2020.90940 800 357 1 136.8 0.1 3.17 0.04	.04
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$.02
$2024.08218 \ \ 700 \ \ 358 \ \ 1 \ \ 134.5 \ \ 0.1 \ \ 3.79 \ \ 0.03$.03
2020.90941 550 899 1 178.5 0.1 3.10 0.02	.02
HD 245024 2020.90942 800 898 1 178.4 0.1 2.23 0.01	.01
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$.01
$2024.08220 \ 700 \ 884 \ 1 \ 179.9 \ 0.1 \ 2.63 \ 0.01$.01
HD 204207 2020.90918 550 2165 1 36.7 0.1 2.39 0.02	.02
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$.01
DW A. 2020.90877 550 1478 1 74.4 0.1 1.92 0.02	.02
RW Aur 2020.90878 800 1474 1 74.4 0.1 0.81 0.01	.01
IID 21281 2020.90667 800 19 1 4.2 0.2 1.00 0.04	.04
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$.07
HD 284065 2020.90876 800 16 1 0.0 0.2 2.38 0.02	.02
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$.02
2020.90882 550 96 1 72.3 0.1 2.59 0.02	.02
HD 280582 2020.90883 800 98 1 71.8 0.1 2.70 0.03	.03
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$.01
	.03



Fig. 1. The central part of the autocorrelation function for the system HD 280583.

3 Conclusion

From a sample of 58 T Tauri type stars selected by the brightness criterion ($m_V \leq 10 \text{ mag}$), 19 stars were resolved into individual components. Among them, there are 12 binary, 5 triple and one quadruple speckle interferometric system. For each resolved binary system, the positional parameters of their components, as well as the magnitude differences, were obtained by the power spectra modeling (Labeyrie 1970).

The star $AG+18\ 339$ is a companion of the star $AG+18\ 338$. Each of these stars is resolved as a speckle-interferometric binary. Thus, this system is defined as a quadruple system.

Based on the above results of the processing of the observations, the estimate of the sampling speckle interferometric multiplicity is as follows: of the 58 stars, 68% are unresolved, 21% are binary, 9% are triple and 2% are quadruple.

Comparing the results with previously mentioned multiplicity surveys (e.g., Leinert et al. 1993), there are differences in the multiplicity percentages due to the different observational methods used. Nevertheless, despite the smaller sample size in this 6 Butorina et al.

paper, it can be seen that a relatively high percentage of higher-order multiplicity systems are observed for the included stars.

References

Joncour I., Duchêne G., and Moraux E., 2017, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 599, id. A14
Kenyon S., Dobrzycka D., and Hartmann L., 1994, The Astronomical Journal, 108, p. 1872
Köhler R. and Leinert C., 1998, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 331, p. 977
Labeyrie A., 1970, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 6, p. 85
Leinert C., Zinnecker H., Weitzel N., et al., 1993, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 278, p. 129
Maksimov A., Balega Yu., Dyachenko V., et al., 2009, Astrophysical Bulletin, 64, p. 296
Petrov P., 2003, Astrophysics, 46, p. 506
Pluzhnik E., 2005, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 431, p. 587
Schaefer G., Prato L., Simon M., and Patience J., 2014, The Astronomical Journal, 147, p. 157

Woitas J., Kohler R., and Leinert C., 2001, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 369, p. 249